Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 192

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Arbitration; whether trial court properly dismissed application to vacate arbitration award as untimely; whether trial court properly granted application to confirm arbitration award; claim that appeal was moot because plaintiff failed to file opposition to application to confirm arbitration award or to address application to confirm in its brief to this court; whether parties could agree to have three month limitation period in Federal Arbitration Act (9 U.S.C. § 12) to file application to vacate arbitration award apply to a vacatur proceeding in Connecticut state court so as to supplant or override thirty day limitation period under state law (§ 52-420 [b]); Doctor's Associates, Inc. v. Searl (179 Conn. App. 577) overruled insofar as it stands for proposition that parties can, as matter of law, agree, by way of choice of law provision, to apply three month limitation period in 9 U.S.C. § 12 to vacatur proceeding brought in Connecticut state court; claim that trial court erred when it reviewed substance of application to vacate arbitration award after it ruled that application to vacate should be dismissed; harmless error; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding supplemental attorney's fees; claim that trial court was required to adopt findings of another trial court regarding reasonableness of hourly rates that were requested by defendants' coun-	245
sel in motion for award of supplemental attorney's fees.	
	850
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in concluding that counsel who represented petitioner with respect to appeal of habeas court's denial of first habeas petition did not render ineffective assistance for withdrawing appeal at petitioner's direction; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner's appellate habeas counsel was not ineffective in failing to advise petitioner regarding right to proceed as self-represented party.	
	606
Employment discrimination; alleged discrimination on basis of national origin and race; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of defendant; whether plaintiff failed to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of material fact as to whether defendant's nondiscriminatory justification for his discharge from his employment was pretext for unlawful discrimination on basis of national origin and race; whether circumstances of case implicated same actor inference; whether certain internal affairs report reflected discriminatory bias that influenced recommendation of defendant city's chief of police to discharge plaintiff.	
	620
Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Frimel	786
without permitting oral argument on motion.	999
Berthiaume v. State	322

whether criminal court rendered valid, final decision on petitioner's motion for new trial; whether res judicata precluded petition for new trial in petitioner's subsequent civil action.	
Blinn v. Sindwani	525
Boreen v. Boreen	303
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in granting motion to terminate alimony upon court's finding that plaintiff was living with another person; whether trial court erred in finding that plaintiff was living with another person pursuant to statute (§ 46b-86 [b]); claim that trial court improperly relied on fact that plaintiff was provided with free health insurance coverage in concluding that she was living with another person; whether trial court erred in finding that only remedy available upon finding that plaintiff was living with another person was to terminate defendant's alimony obligation.	505
Callahan v. Callahan	634
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting defendant's postjudgment motion to modify his alimony obligation; claim that trial court's finding that defendant's earning capacity had decreased, on basis of profits from parties' companies, was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court was required to determine defendant's earning capacity on basis of what he might theoretically earn if he were to sell companies and pursue employment opportunities in marketplace; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering that modification of defendant's alimony obligation be retroactive three years and requiring plaintiff to repay defendant certain sums of alimony she had received; whether claim that trial court lacked authority to suspend defendant's alimony payments was moot where trial court had factored suspension of alimony payments into calculation of defendant's overpayment of alimony and reduced overpayment by amount of alimony that accrued during suspension; claim that trial court on remand from Appellate Court improperly failed to determine that reinstated financial orders were effective as of date of dissolution judgment; claim that trial court erred in ordering plaintiff to execute certain documents to transfer to defendant her interest in parties' companies; claim that trial court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction to require defendant to endorse insurance checks for postdissolution property damage to parties' former marital home.	
Carolina v. Commissioner of Correction	296
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that petitioner's right to due process was violated when respondent Commissioner of Correction classified him as sex offender with treatment needs, where petitioner had been convicted of various counts of risk of injury to child; claim that petitioner was not afforded sufficient procedural protections before being classified as sex offender.	
Crosskey Architects, LLC v. POKO Partners, LLC	378
Contracts; quantum meruit; whether trial court improperly pierced corporate veil and held defendant business owners personally liable under identity rule; claim that trial court improperly found that identity test was satisfied based solely on its finding that defendant business owners controlled defendant business entities; claim that plaintiff presented no evidence to support identity rule; claim that trial court improperly pierced corporate veil and held defendant business owners personally liable under identity rule because it failed to properly consider whether defendant business entities served legitimate business purpose; claim that trial court improperly found that plaintiff was entitled to damages on theory of quantum meruit as to certain project; claim that trial court improperly calculated amount of damages because no factual support existed in record for value of benefit; claim that trial court lacked discretion to award prejudament interest	
pursuant to statute (§ 37-3a) on claim for quantum meruit as to certain project.	
Ditech Financial, LLC v. Joseph	826
Strict foreclosure; standing; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction due to named plaintiff's lack of standing; reviewability of claim that named	
plaintiff lacked standing, where this court was unable to verify in record before	

it what was presented to and reviewed by trial court, and resolution of standing claim was dependent on disputed factual findings that could not be resolved due to inadequate appellate record.	
Fleischer v. Fleischer	540
Gaddy v. Mount Vernon Fire Ins. Co	337
Intentional sexual assault; motion to dismiss; personal jurisdiction; motion to set aside verdict; punitive damages; claim that trial court's assertion of personal jurisdiction over defendant violated her right to due process because she personally had no minimum contacts with state; whether trial court properly exercised jurisdiction over defendant under state's long arm statute (§ 52-59b); whether defendant, as executrix of decedent's estate, stepped into decedent's shoes for purposes of this action; claim that trial court improperly admitted certain evidence; whether any purported error in admission of evidence was harmless; whether evidence merely was cumulative of other evidence; whether defendant failed to prove that improper admission of evidence likely affected outcome of trial; whether jury's determination that plaintiff was entitled to common-law punitive damages was final judgment for purposes of appeal; whether trial court improperly permitted jury to find defendant liable for common-law punitive damages without evidence as to plaintiff's litigation expenses; whether trial court improperly reserved for its own consideration specific amount of common-law punitive damages to be awarded to plaintiff; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to set aside verdict; whether there was insufficient evidence that plaintiff suffers from post-traumatic stress disorder and other psychological trauma caused by childhood sexual abuse by decedent.	421
Ives v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles	587
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Virgulak . Foreclosure; reformation; claim that trial court erred by failing to exercise discretion in considering substitute plaintiff's foreclosure claim as stand-alone claim that was not dependent on reformation of mortgage deed; claim that trial court improperly failed to grant equitable remedy of foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in declining to reform mortgage deed; whether substitute plaintiff provided sufficient evidence to demonstrate that mutual mistake was made; claim that trial court improperly denied substitute plaintiff's motion to withdraw and amend responses to requests for admission; claim that trial court improperly concluded that substitute plaintiff's admissions limited recovery under its unjust	688

enrichment claim; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for reargument.	
Kusy v. Norwich. Negligence; summary judgment; governmental immunity; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of defendants on ground of governmental immunity pursuant to statute (§ 52-557n [a] [2] [B]); claim that snow and ice removal by municipality is ministerial act as matter of law; whether in absence of policy or directive prescribing manner in which municipal official is to remove snow and ice such act is discretionary in nature; whether trial court properly determined that removal of snow and ice at subject school was discretionary in nature; whether issue of whether removal of snow and ice is ministerial in nature is factual question that is reserved for jury and may not be decided by trial court by way of summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff failed to raise genuine issue of material fact regarding whether he was identifiable victim for purposes of identifiable person-imminent harm exception to governmental immunity.	171
Ledyard v. WMS Gaming, Inc	836
to statute (§ 12-161a) that requires property owner to pay attorney's fees of municipality in action brought to collect delinquent personal property taxes when fees are "as a result of and directly related to" collection proceeding, plaintiff town was entitled to attorney's fees it incurred in defending collateral federal action; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff town's motion for summary judgment because it improperly applied expansive interpretation of § 12-161a to characterize attorney's fees incurred in federal action as falling within ambit of fees directly related to present collection proceeding; whether attorney's fees attributable to federal action were directly related to present collection proceeding.	
McCarthy v. Commissioner of Correction	797
procedurally defaulted due process claim that his decision to reject plea offer was not made knowingly and voluntarily because he was misled regarding strength of state's case against him; whether petitioner's due process claim was freestanding claim subject to procedural default; whether petitioner established cause even if he had procedurally defaulted his due process claim; claim that counsel for bond purposes only had rendered ineffective assistance by causing petitioner to misunderstand strength of state's case against him by fabricating witnesses' affidavits without petitioner's knowledge; whether claim that nonappearing counsel who was not counsel of record rendered ineffective assistance was cognizable as matter of law; whether ineffective assistance of counsel claims are limited to actions taken by attorneys who are counsel of record or who appeared in court; whether counsel for bond purposes only was representing petitioner for purposes of sixth amendment when he fabricated witnesses' affidavits.	
Nonhuman Rights Project, Inc. v. R.W. Commerford & Sons, Inc	36
for writ of habeas corpus.	077
One Elmcroft Stamford, LLC v. Zoning Board of Appeals	275

Pasco Common Condominium Assn., Inc. v. Benson	479
Reale v. Rhode Island. Spoliation of evidence; claim that trial court erred in determining that defendants did not waive right to seek dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction by concurrently moving to strike plaintiff's complaint as alternative to dismissal; claim that trial court erred in granting motions to dismiss on ground of lack of personal jurisdiction; whether claims against state defendants were barred by doctrine of sovereign immunity; whether trial court properly exercised its discretion to allow town defendant to file motion to dismiss and motion to strike simultaneously; whether town defendant was considered foreign corporation within meaning of long arm statute that sets forth service of process on foreign corporations by Connecticut resident (§ 33-929 [f]).	759
Seminole Realty, LLC v. Sekretaev	405
Sen v. Tsiongas	188
State v. Battle	128

14
738
221
49

fact that defendant was suspect at time of encounter with detectives transformed encounter into custodial interrogation.	
State v. Moon	68
Felony murder; robbery in first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree; jury instructions; claim that trial court erred when it provided jury with supplemental instruction in response to jury question regarding use of force element of robbery in first degree; claim that court introduced new theory of liability when it added phrase "another participant" to instructions on use of physical force element of robbery in first degree; claim that supplemental instruction invaded province of jury or suggested preferred verdict; claim that court erred when it declined to poll jurors on affirmative defense to felony murder charge; claim that trial court abused its discretion by admitting into evidence two spent shell casings that were found in defendant's house two days after shooting; claim that shell casings were impermissible evidence of defendant's criminal propensity, whether defendant waived claim that trial court improperty instructed the jury on conspiracy to commit robbery in first degree when it omitted intent element required for underlying crime of robbery in first degree by failing to instruct jury that it had to find that defendant intended to commit robbery while he or another participant was armed; claim that court's instruction constituted	00
plain error.	
State v. Rodriguez. Public indecency; breach of peace; improper use of marker, registration, or license; illegal operation of motor vehicle while driver's license was under suspension; failure to appear in second degree; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly admitted evidence of uncharged misconduct; whether trial court committed plain error by admitting uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that defendant was entitled to plain error reversal because trial court improperly instructed jury on uncharged misconduct evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to sever failure to appear counts from other counts in information.	115
State v. Tarasiuk	207
Assault of public safety personnel; criminal trespass; whether trial court abused its discretion by permitting state to introduce evidence of prior felony conviction of defendant for criminal violation of restraining order for purpose of impeaching defendant's credibility; whether defendant failed to demonstrate that admission of evidence of prior felony constituted harmful error entitling him to new trial; whether state was required to prove that defendant intended to physically harm police officer; whether defendant's admissions supported jury finding that defendant intended to prevent police officer from performing duties; whether jury reasonably could have found any ameliorative aspects of defendant's testimony to be not credible; whether admission of prior felony conviction substantially affected verdict.	
State v. Watson	353
Strangulation in second degree; assault in third degree; unlawful restraint in first degree; threatening in second degree; unpreserved claim that it was improper for trial court, rather than jury, to make determination of whether charges of assault and unlawful restraint were upon same incident as charge of strangulation for purposes of applicable statute ([Rev. to 2015] § 53a-64bb [b]); claim that trial court violated § 53a-64bb (b) and defendant's right to be free from double jeopardy when it punished him for assault, unlawful restraint and strangulation because separate charges of assault and unlawful restraint, as charged in information and based on evidence, were not established as wholly separate claims from strangulation; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional rights to confrontation and to present defense by restricting his cross-examination of victim; claim that defendant should have been allowed to question victim regarding certain past conduct.	
Vodovskaia-Scandura v. Hartford Headache Center, LLC	559
Negligence; intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment as to claims of negligence and intentional infliction of emotional distress; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of relevant facts, issues and applicable law.	- 30
Weinshel, Wynnick & Associates, LLC v. Bongiorno	768
Contracts; claim that trial court improperly concluded that individual defendant could not be held personally liable for plaintiff's damages pursuant to theory of successor liability, whether trial court properly rendered judgment in favor of	

defendant, individually; claim that defendant was liable on ground that she did not obtain approval, pursuant to applicable state regulation (§ 30-6-A4), from Liquor Control Commission for acquisition of interest in liquor company; whether plaintiff provided support for claim that party may seek to enforce liquor control regulation by means of private cause of action; claim that § 30-6-A4 stands for proposition that unapproved transfer of interest in corporate backer of liquor permit exposes transferee to personal liability for debts of backer corporation; whether trial court improperly interpreted statute (§ 52-599 [b]) in finding that substitution of defendant, as executrix, for husband's estate was untimely. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Caldrello.	1
Foreclosure; standing; claim that trial court erred in concluding that no genuine	-
issue of material fact existed with respect to plaintiff's standing and in rendering summary judgment as to liability in plaintiff's favor; whether plaintiff met its evidentiary burden and raised presumption that it was holder of note and rightful owner of debt; whether plaintiff was successor by merger to original holder of subject note; whether, under federal banking law (12 U.S.C. § 215a [e]), all of rights in note of original holder automatically transferred to plaintiff without	
need for any endorsement; whether defendant's submissions in opposition to	
plaintiff's motion for summary judgment failed to satisfy her burden to rebut,	
with competent evidence, presumption that plaintiff, as holder of note, was also	
rightful owner of debt and had standing to bring foreclosure action; whether	
defendant's submissions in opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judg-	
ment as to liability lacked adequate evidentiary foundation; whether defendant	
presented evidence that some entity other than plaintiff owned note at time action	
was commenced or at any time thereafter; reviewability of claims; failure to	
provide adequate record for review of claims or to brief claims adequately.	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fratarcangeli	159
Foreclosure; special defenses; motion to strike; attestation of mortgage deed; notary	100
public; claim that mortgage deed was invalid because there was no second	
attesting witness as required by statute (§ 47-5 [a]); whether trial court improp-	
erly concluded that validating statute (§ 47-36aa) rendered mortgage deed valid	
and enforceable; whether witnessing defect was automatically cured by § 47-	
36aa; whether trial court properly granted substitute plaintiff's motion to strike	
special defense of illegal attestation of mortgage deed as legally insufficient;	
whether § 47-36aa (a) (2) contains fraud exception for instances where it is	
alleged that lack of valid second attesting witness resulted from fraudulent act;	
whether trial court properly granted substitute plaintiff's motion to strike special	
defense of unclean hands as to attestation of mortgage deed; whether defendant	
alleged that conduct claimed to be unclean was done directly against defendant's	
interests; whether unclean hands doctrine was available to defendant on basis	
of allegations made in support of defendant's second special defense.	
Wilson v. Di Iulio	101
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly failed to award more	-01
than nominal alimony; claim that trial court abused its discretion by making	
property award enforceable by modifiable alimony award.	